

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

219

CWP-16385-2014
Decided on : 26.04.2024

M/s Surbhi Garments

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-II,
Gurgaon and another

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: None for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Saransh Sabharwal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner – M/s Surbhi Garments (Management) has filed the present writ petition, challenging the award dated 11.02.2014 (Annexure P-3), whereby Reference No.81 of 2005, under Section 10(1)(C) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (in short, ‘ID Act’), has been answered in favour of respondent No.2 – Parshu Ram (workman).

2. On 13.08.2018, following order was passed:-

*“Present: Mr. Atul Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.*

*None for respondent No.2.

*Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that he
be given some time to look into the matter whether dues on account
of provident fund were released to the respondent-workman or not
and he is to file the details in this regard.
Adjourned to 24.01.2019.*

**Sd/-
(Shekhar Dhawan)
Judge**

13.08.2018”

3. Again the direction was repeated on 24.07.2022. Thereafter, on 02.11.2023, petitioner appeared and sought an adjournment. On 26.02.2024, again following order was passed:-

“Present: None for the petitioner(s).

*Mr. Saransh Sabharwal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.*

*There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.
Counsel for respondent No.2 submits that even order
dated 13.08.2018, is yet to be complied with by the petitioner. He
also submits that again on 24.07.2023, direction was given to
comply with order dated 13.08.2018. Still, there is no compliance.
Adjourned to 01.03.2024.*

*Registry is directed to inform telephonically, Mr. Atul
Yadav, counsel for the petitioner about he next date fixed before
this Court.*

*Sd/-
(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE*

February 26, 2024”

4. Neither anyone appeared on behalf of the petitioner on the previous date of hearing, nor there is any representation on behalf of the petitioner today as well, for making statement about the compliance of order dated 13.08.2018.

5. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

April 26, 2024
J.Ram

*Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No*