

IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH

206-1 CWP-14673-2016 (O&M)
KHADI ASHRAM ... Petitioner

VERSUS

HARYANA STATE KHADI & VILL INDUSTRIES
BOARD AND ORS ... Respondents

206-2 CWP-17668-2016 (O&M)
BHARTIYA KHADI GRAMODYOG SANGH ... Petitioner

VERSUS

HARYANA STATE KHADI & VILL INDUSTRIES
BOARD AND ORS ... Respondents

206-3 CWP-29527-2017
Date of Decision: 15.01.2024
KHADI SEWA SANG ... Petitioner

VERSUS

HARYANA STATE KHADI & VILL INDUSTRIES
BOARD AND ORS ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. R.K. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Atul Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondent No.1 in CWP-17668-2016 and
CWP-14673-2016.
None for respondent No.1 in CWP-29527-2017.
Mr. Karan Gaba, Advocate for Mr. Sandeep Suri,
Advocate for respondent No.4.
Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of this common order, a batch of three writ petitions is
being decided with the consent of the counsel for the respective parties as the
issues involved therein are same and identical.

Prayer made in the present petitions is for directing the respondents No.1 to 3 to release the pending partial rebate claims on sale of khadi for the years 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15 and 2015-16 to the petitioner(s).

When the matter was taken up on 21.08.2023, the respondents had filed an affidavit setting out therein that the claim of the petitioners for grant of subsidy/rebate had already been considered by the State Government in its meeting and the claim of the petitioner(s) was declined on 28.10.2016 for the year 2012-13 and 2013-14 on the ground that the claims are two years old and genuineness of the same cannot be verified. Copy of the said order was also sent to the Haryana Khadi and Village Industries Board vide letter dated 07.09.2020. Counsel for the petitioner had sought time to go through the same and to seek instructions.

On resumed hearing, counsel for the petitioners contends that he has instructions to challenge the abovesaid rejection of the claim by the respondents and that he may be permitted to withdraw the present petitions with liberty to file fresh petition(s) on the new cause of action that has accrued in favour of the petitioners on account of rejection of their claim.

Counsel for the respondents have no objection to the same.

Disposed of as withdrawn with the liberties as aforesaid.

All other misc. application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of accordingly.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.

JANUARY 15, 2024
rajender

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No