



113 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5516-2024

Date of decision: 12.06.2024

Tamanna and anr.

.....Petitioners

V/s

State of Punjab and ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Ms. Himani Kapila, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA J. (ORAL

1. The petitioners have approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking directions to respondent Nos. 2 and 3 for protection of their lives and personal liberty from respondent Nos.4 to 7.

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioners is that both the petitioners are major, both aged above 23 years. In support thereof, copies of Aadhaar Cards pertaining to the petitioners have been appended. The learned counsel for petitioners further contends that they have performed marriage against the wishes of their parents. The marriage of the petitioners was solemnized on 07.06.2024. Copy of Marriage Certificate (Annexure P-3) and copy of photographs of marriage (Annexure P-4) have also been appended.

3. After going through the contents of the representation, the representation seems to be vague and half baked, wherein no specific details has been mentioned.



CRWP-5516-2024

-2-

4. Faced with the situation, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that present writ petition be treated as representation and the same be decided within a time bound manner by looking into the grievance of the petitioners.

5. Prayer is allowed and the present writ petition is disposed of without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioners, respondent No.2 is directed to treat the present writ petition as representation and decide the same, by passing a speaking order within a period of 4 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

12.06.2024
sapna goyal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No