



116 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5555-2024
Date of decision: 13.06.2024

Rohit Gill and anr.

.....Petitioners

V/s

State of Union Territory, Chandigarh and ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Gursharan Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Yashwant Singh Rathore, Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh
with Ms. Sudha Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Yuvraj Singh Rathore, Advocates
along with SI Gurrupuran Singh.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA J. (ORAL

1. The petitioners have approached this Court under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking directions to respondent Nos. 1 to 3 for protection of their lives and personal liberty from respondent Nos.4 to 6.
2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioners is that both the petitioners are major. In support thereof, copies of Aadhaar Cards pertaining to the petitioners have been appended. The learned counsel for petitioners further contends that they have performed marriage against the wishes of their parents. The marriage of the petitioners was solemnized on 07.06.2024. Copy of Marriage Certificate (Annexure P-4) and copy of photographs of marriage (Annexure P-5) have also been appended.
3. Notice of motion.
4. Learned Additional P.P, U.T., Chandigarh on instructions from SI



CRWP-5555-2024

-2-

stated that the parents of the petitioners who are living in Taran Taran have no objection with the relationship of the petitioners. Copy of the statement is taken on record.

5. In view of the above, nothing remains to be adjudicated in the present petition. Resultantly, present petition is disposed of.

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

13.06.2024
sapna goyal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No