

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

107

CRWP-5733-2024

Date of Decision: 19.06.2024

Lovepreet Kaur and another

....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

.....

Present: Mr. Neeraj Kalair, Advocate for the petitioners.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents No.4 to 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major, petitioner No.1 – Lovepreet Kaur, aged 27 years and petitioner No.2 – Malkeet Singh, aged 24 years. The copies of 'Aadhaar' card of petitioners (Annexures P-1 and P-2) have been placed on record. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that this is the 2nd marriage of petitioner No.1, however, the first marriage had been dissolved vide judgment dated 23.02.2024 in HMA-2030-2023 passed by the Principle Judge, Family Court, Ambala, under Section 13-B of the Hindu

Marriage Act. Both the petitioners have got married on 03.06.2024, against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondents No.4 to 6. Marriage certificate has been placed on record as Annexure P-3 as well as marriage photographs has also been placed on record. Hence, they are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court Mr. Malkiat Singh, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

In view of limited prayer made by the petitioners, the petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation dated 08.06.2024 (Annexure P-4) at the earliest and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

19.06.2024

Komal

Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/ No
Whether reportable?	:	Yes/ No