



115

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5548-2024

Date of decision: 13.06.2024

Nisha and anr.**.....Petitioners****V/s****State of Punjab and ors.****.....Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Ms. Suman Kumari, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA J. (ORAL

1. The petitioners have approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking directions to respondent Nos. 2 and 3 for protection of their lives and personal liberty from respondent Nos.4 to 7 and restrain them from interfering in the live-in relationship.

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioners is that both the petitioners are major. In support thereof, copies of Aadhaar Cards pertaining to the petitioners have been appended. The learned counsel for petitioners further contends that they are living in relationship against the wishes of their parents.

3. After going through the contents of the representation, the same seems to be vague and half baked, wherein no specific details have been mentioned.

4. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to file a fresh representation.

5. Therefore, without examining the question of legality and validity of the live-in relationship and expressing any opinion thereon, the prayer of the



petitioner is accepted and in case the petitioner files a fresh representation within seven days, the same shall be decided, expeditiously in accordance with law.

6. The petition is disposed of.

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

13.06.2024
sapna goyal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No