



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-29966-2024
Date of Decision:02.09.2024

Satbir @ Pappe @ Satyavir ...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana ...Respondent

Coram : Hon’ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Sourabh Sheoran, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Kumar Banku, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C with a prayer to quash the impugned order dated 18.04.2024 (Annexure P-12) passed by the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Narnaul, where by the bail of the petitioner was cancelled and bail bonds were forfeited to the State.
 2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of interim order dated 14.06.2024, passed by this Court, the petitioner has appeared before the Trial Court and has been admitted to interim bail. He further contends that the petitioner has not misused the concession of bail.
 3. Learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned State counsel.
 4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
 5. It is apparent that in compliance of interim order dated 14.06.2024, the petitioner has appeared before the Court and has been admitted to bail.
- Even, no misuse of the concession of bail by the petitioner has been

reported. Consequently, the interim order dated 14.06.2024 is made absolute.

The petitioner shall file an undertaking before the concerned Court that he shall continue to appear before the Court on each and every date of hearing and shall not absent himself during the court proceedings, except with prior permission of the Court.

02.09.2024

hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No