

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.30807 of 2023
Date of Decision: 04.01.2024

Jajpreet Singh @ Jaj
.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana
.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Jasminder Singh Thind, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, Senior DAG, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Lalit Sharma, Advocate, appearing for
Mr. Anshul Sharma, Advocate
for the complainant.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

By way of the instant petition, the petitioner has made the 2nd attempt to seek the relief of regular bail in the criminal case arisen out of the FIR bearing No.351 dated 28.08.2021 registered at Police Station Rania, District Sirsa, under Sections 307, 323, 324, 326, 452 & 506 read with Section 34 IPC (wherein the offence under Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, is stated to have been added later-on), with the allegations that he, along-with his co-accused Babbi, had caused injuries to informant Surender Singh and his maternal-uncle named Vinod Kumar with “Sword” and “Khanda”.

2. It is pertinent to mention here that the first petition bearing **CRM-M No.20102 of 2022**, as preferred by the petitioner earlier for seeking the same relief, had been dismissed by this Court on 14.09.2022 for having been withdrawn.

3. Reply to the present petition has already been filed on behalf of the respondent-State, by way of the affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Ellenabad.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel (assisted by learned counsel appearing for the complainant) in this petition and have also perused the file carefully.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is behind the bars since the date of his arrest, i.e 21.12.2021 and the Challan has already been presented and the Charges have also been framed and moreover, the petitioner has not been convicted in any other criminal case of the similar nature and in these circumstances, he deserves the relief as prayed for in the instant petition.

6. Learned State counsel does not dispute the afore-referred factual position but he opposes the prayer of the petitioner for the grant of relief of regular bail.

7. However, keeping in view the above-discussed undisputed facts and circumstances and also the fact that the trial of the case is likely to take sufficient time to conclude and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits of the criminal case under reference, the petition in hand is hereby allowed and the petitioner named Jajpreet Singh @ Jaj is

ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing the requisite personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

January 04, 2024

Yag Dutt

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Whether Reportable:

Yes

No