



**105 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29742-2024

Date of Decision: June 12, 2024

Sukhwinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Deepak Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)

By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.28 dated 19.05.2024, under Section 61 of the Punjab Excise Act, 1914, registered at Police Station Sadiq.

2. As per the allegations, on the basis of a secret information against the petitioner, his house was raided and 100 litres of *lahan* besides other equipments so as to prepare the *lahan*, were recovered.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner has been falsely implicated due to village rivalry.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Somesh Arora, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

6. After hearing both the sides and considering the fact that recovery was effected from the house of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant the benefit of anticipatory bail to the petitioner as his

custodial interrogation may be required to know the source of preparing the *lahan* and modus operandi.

Dismissed.

June 12, 2024

sarita

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No