

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

119

2024.PHHC:080498



CRR(F)-834-2024

Date of decision: 24.06.2024

BITTOO @ BITTOO KUMAR SURIYA

..Petitioner

Versus

NEERAJ

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Ankush Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. In this revision petition, the petitioner challenges his conditional warrants issued by the Court for failure to pay maintenance to his wife. From the reading of the impugned order, it is apparent that the primary purpose of the impugned order is to secure his personal presence.
2. The learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that the petitioner has brought a demand draft of Rs.3,00,000/- in order to discharge his liability.
3. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the revision petition is disposed of with the observation that if the petitioner appears in the Court on 01.07.2024, the next date of hearing, before the Family Court and tenders the demand draft of Rs.3,00,000/- along with an affidavit, if any, furnishing explanation for failure to pay the amount, the same shall be considered by the Court before passing any further order.

4. Till 01.07.2024, the conditional warrants of arrest shall not be implemented. However, if the petitioner fails to appear on 01.07.2024, the criminal revision petition shall be deemed to have been dismissed.

5. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

June 24th, 2024
Ay

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*