



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-16012-2014(O&M)
Date of Decision:22.10.2024**

Vikas Chaudhary

.....Petitioner

Versus

Haryana State Industrial & Infrastructure Development Corporation and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. R.K. Malik, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Sandeep Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. P.S. Poonia, Advocate for
Mr. Pulkit Dhanda, Advocate for respondents No.3,5 and 6..

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI J.(Oral)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* for quashing the order dated 08.08.2014 (Annexure P-6) passed by respondents.
2. After arguing for some time, learned counsels for the parties are *ad idem* and more particularly Mr. Deepak Balyan, learned counsel for respondent No.1 after seeking instructions from Mr. Divya Kumar, GM (P&A), who is present in the Court stated that the present petition may be disposed of with a direction to respondents to take a fresh decision regarding why the petitioner was not granted the benefit of experience based on his qualifications and the



certificates on which he had relied upon and also as to whether the marks given to some of the selected candidates based on their experience were in accordance with the requirements set forth in the advertisement or not.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the State of Haryana is not a party (respondent) in the present petition and on his oral request, the State of Haryana through the Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Industries, may be impleaded as respondent No.7.

4. The request of learned counsel for the petitioner is accepted. The State of Haryana through the Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Industries is impleaded as party respondent No.7. Registry is directed to carry out the necessary changes in the memo of parties.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl. A.G. Haryana, appears on behalf of respondent-State.

6. Considering the aforesaid joint request made by learned counsels for the parties, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Additional Chief Secretary, State of Haryana, Department of Industries to constitute a committee comprising of himself and the Managing Director of respondent-Corporation and after hearing all the stakeholders, including the petitioner, the Committee shall pass an order on the grievance of the petitioner that he has not been granted the marks for experience after making a comparison of the petitioner's experience with those of the selected candidates who have been granted marks for experience. In case the marks for experience are not to be granted to the petitioner then the aforesaid committee headed by the ACS will give reasons for the same within a period of three months from today.



7. Disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

22.10.2024
shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No