IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(212) **CWP No. 1435 of 2016 (O&M)**
Date of Decision : 20.09.2024

Baldev Raj and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Pawan Kumar Goklaney, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

***

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the petitioners, who are working as a Lecturer, claiming that the employees who were junior to them, were promoted on a prior date, hence, petitioners should also be given the promotion in the Cadre of Lecturer from the date the junior employees have been promoted along with arrears.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that the claim of the petitioners was not being decided on the ground that the seniority in the Cadre of Master is under revision and, therefore, till the said revise seniority in the Cadre of Master is not issued, the claim of the petitioners for further promotion with retrospective effect cannot be considered.

Learned counsel submits that the seniority in the Cadre of Master has already been finalized on 05.08.2024, hence, respondents be

Kanchan
2024.09.23 09:37
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this
order/judgment

directed to consider the claim of the petitioners whether, they are entitled for retrospective promotion to the post of Lecturer along with consequential benefits.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the claim of the petitioners for retrospective promotion as Lecturer will be considered in terms of the newly framed seniority list in the Cadre of Master and in case, it is found that anyone junior to the petitioners has been promoted prior to a date when the petitioners have been promoted as Lecturer, the benefit will be given in accordance with law by passing an appropriate speaking order within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of copy of this order, otherwise, due reasons will be given for not accepting the claim of the petitioner, which reasons will be conveyed to the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

September 20, 2024 **(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**
kanchan **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No

Kanchan
2024.09.23 09:37
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this
order/judgment