



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-10711-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision:22.11.2024

Subhash Chander Malhotra

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhushan Bhatia, Advocate for respondent-bank

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI J.(Oral)

1. At the time of arguments, learned counsel for respondent-Bank submitted that principal issue involved in the present case was that the petitioner was appointed by the Chairman of Gurgaon Gramin Bank, which was later amalgamated in 2013 with respondent No. 2-Bank and as per the grievance of the petitioner the disciplinary authority of the petitioner could not be lower than the Chairman who was the appointing authority in the earlier bank. He submitted that an identical issue had been decided by a Coordinate Bench of this Court in a bunch of cases including CWP No.17358-2016 titled as *Ashok Hooda Vs. Union of India and others*, where the petition was dismissed and even LPA was also dismissed. However, there was no adjudication on the vires of the regulation of Sarva Haryana Gramin Bank (Officers and Employees) Service Regulation 2010.



2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the aforesaid position he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to the petitioner to file a separate petition if so required and so desired to challenge the vires of the aforesaid Regulation.

3. The prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is accepted.

4. Present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

22.11.2024

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No