

2024:PHHC:079769



120 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA**
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-14454-2024

Date of decision: 11.06.2024

Randhir Singh Manhas and ors.

.....Petitioners

V/s

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Rajinder Malik, Advocate for
 Ms. Mrinal Dewan, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondent Nos.2 to 6 to allow the police help for demarcation of the land of the petitioners as there is likelihood of breach of peace at the time of demarcation which the private respondents have violated earlier also by removing the 'Burjis' put by the revenue officials.

Learned counsel for the petitioners *inter alia* contends that the petitioners are the owner in possession of the land comprising in Khasra No.1R//22-23-24-25/1, 8R//2-3-6-7-8, 8R//5/3/2-13-14-15-93/1 situated in the revenue estate of village Lado Chak, Hadbast No.329, Tehsil and District Pathankot. The dispute pertains to the ear marking of the boundaries and in order to restore the proper demarcation, the petitioners requested the respondent Nos. 3 to 5

to carry out the demarcation with police help so that the survey of the boundaries of



CWP-14454-2024

-2-

two villages by meets and bound can be carried out without disturbing the peace. He submits that since no action is taken on the representation by the official respondents and there is likelihood that private respondents made again violate the law as the private respondents had earlier tried to take the law in their own hands by demolishing the survey marks earlier erected by the revenue authorities in the earlier demarcation.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Amit K. Goyal, Addl. A.G., Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and he did not object to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

In view of the pleadings in the present petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioners in the representation dated 17.05.2024 (Annexure P-6), respondent Nos.3 to 5 are directed to decide the aforesaid representation, by passing a speaking order within a period of 4 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petition stands disposed of.

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

11.06.2024
sapna goyal

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No