

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-16558-2022

Date of decision: 16.02.2024

RAKESH KUMAR

...PETITIONER

Vs.

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Gurpreet Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Kumar Jund, Senior Panel Counsel  
for Union of India-respondent Nos. 1 to 5.

\*\*\*\*

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)**

1. On 03.11.2023, the following order was passed:

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that case of the petitioner is squarely covered by judgment of Delhi High Court in ‘Tanaka Ram and others Vs. Union of India and others’ 2019(3) SLR 463. The petitioner was selected in 2003, however, appointment letter was issued in 2004.*

*In view of judgment of Delhi High Court, the petitioner is entitled to Old Pension Scheme and respondents-UOI at its own has formulated a policy just to deny benefit to various candidates.*

*Learned counsel for the respondents-UOI seeks time to address the arguments.*

*Adjourned to 19.12.2023.”*

2. Mr. Karan Kumar Jund, Senior Panel Counsel submits that vide order dated 07.10.2023, the respondent has principally conceded claim of the petitioner and he would be granted consequential benefits.

3. In the wake of statement of Mr. Karan Kumar Jund, Senior Panel Counsel, the present petition stands disposed of.

16.02.2024  
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]  
JUDGE

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |