



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.29716 of 2024
Date of decision: 14th October, 2024

Ashok Rathore

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Subhash Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gagandeep S. Chhina, Asst. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.599 dated 30.10.2023 under Sections 384, 420 IPC registered at Police Station Ganaur, District Sonapat.

2. On 12.06.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, a Coordinate Bench of this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation.

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case who has not been named in the FIR. He further contends that the account in which the said amount in question was deposited does not belong to the petitioner as the same was opened by misusing his KYC documents and to this effect

he has moved one application dated 06.03.2024 (Annexure P-3) for proper investigation of the matter. He also submits that the petitioner is ready to join investigation as nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 12.06.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Satbir, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 12.06.2024 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./Section 482(2) BNSS. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

October 14, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No