



108 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5456-2024
Date of decision: 11.06.2024

Raj Kumar and anotherPetitioners

V/s

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Sukhwinder Singh Dhillon, Advocate
for the petitioners.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for seeking issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 for protecting their lives and personal liberty from respondents No.4 to 12.

Learned counsel for the petitioners at the outset prays for deciding the representation dated 05.06.2024 raised by the petitioners vide Annexure P-3 expeditiously by passing a speaking order within a time bound manner.

Notice of motion restricted to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

At this stage, Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, Addl., A.G. Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and has no objection if appropriate directions are given for deciding the representation submitted by the petitioners.

Therefore, the present petition is disposed of with the directions to respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib District Sri Muktsar Sahib to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation dated 05.06.2024 (Annexure P-3)



and take appropriate action for protection of their lives and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances in accordance with law.

The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition to respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib District Sri Muktsar Sahib for requisite compliance.

The petition is disposed of.

11.06.2024
Sapna

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No