



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

102

CRM-M-29548-2024

Date of Decision:07.06.2024

MANPREET SINGH @ MANNA

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Kuldeep S.Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Kuljeet Singh, Additional Advocate General, Punjab

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 24 dated 17.04.2024, registered under Section 379-B of Indian Penal Code, at Police Station Bholath, District Kapurthala.

2. At the outset, learned State counsel submits that the petitioner has been named by the co-accused in the disclosure statement and the child from whom the phone was snatched has already identified the petitioner from photographs shown to him. Hence, as the recovery is yet to be done, the grant of anticipatory bail may kindly be declined.

3. Faced with these situation, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further, at this stage.

4. Ordered accordingly.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

07.06.2024
dinesh

1. *Whether speaking/reasoned?*
2. *Whether reportable?*

Yes/No
Yes/No