

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

232CWP-15025-2015
Date of Decision: 15.01.2024

DRONACHARYA COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
.... Petitioner

Versus

PRESIDING OFFICER AND ANRRespondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Viren Jain, Advocate and
Ms. Komaljit Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(Oral)

1. On 09.01.2024, the following order was passed:

*'Present: Ms. Komaljit Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Viren Jain, Advocate for the
petitioner.
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.
-.-*

*Counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner-management is ready to make payment of
lump sum amount i.e. Rs.1,70,000/- to respondent
No.2 (workman).
Widow of respondent No.2(workman) who is present
along with his counsel, has agreed to accept the
proposed amount, subject to withdrawal of the writ
petition by the petitioner-management.
In the facts and circumstances, petitioner-
management seeks one opportunity to submit the
demand draft for an amount of Rs.1,70,000/- on the
next date of hearing, in favour of respondent No.2(i)-
Meenu widow of Rajbir Singh.
At this stage, counsel for respondent, No.2
(workman) also points out that respondent No.2 -
Rajbir Singh (workman) expired during the pendency*

of the present writ petition, was not given two months' salary, prior to his death. Thus, said salary amount for the period of two months' be also paid to respondent No.2 (i), on the next date of hearing.

Adjourned to 15.01.2024.

To be taken up at 2.00 P.M.

Petitioner-management is directed to pay the said salary amount of two months also, on the next date of hearing, in addition to the proposed amount i.e. Rs.1,70,000/-.'

2. Respondent No.2 (i)-Meenu Widow of Rajbir Singh had already agreed to accept the proposed lump sum amount, subject to withdrawal of the present writ petition.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner-Management handed over two separate demand drafts bearing No.'807214' amounting to Rs.1,70,000/- and '807213' amounting to Rs.19,750/- in favour of respondent No.2 (i)-Meenu, to learned counsel for respondent No.2 in the Court today. Photocopies of the demand drafts are taken on record.

4. After receiving of the said demand drafts, counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No 2 (now for his legal representatives) submits that qua the declaration of rights given in the impugned award dated 04.05.2015, all the claims are fully satisfied and nothing more would be claimed in any manner before any forum in future, thus he agrees to record the satisfaction on behalf of the respondent No.2-Rajbir Singh (who is now represented through his legal representatives 2(i) to 2(iii)), to be fully satisfied with the amount paid by the petitioner-Manangement.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner points out that though, the claim of the workman regarding two months salary as recorded in the previous order dated 09.01.2024, is not admitted by the petitioner-

Management but just for the sake of closure of present writ petition, he is making payment towards said claim also.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the above settlement and as per the instructions received by him, he does not wish to continue with the present petition and prays for withdrawal of the same.

7. Present petition stands disposed of, as having been withdrawn.

January 15, 2024	[SANJAY VASHISTH]
rashmi	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	yes/no
Whether reportable?	yes/no