

2024:PHHC:159335



INCOMP-1385-2024

145 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

INCOMP No.1385 of 2024
Date of Decision: 29.11.2024

Rashmi Negi ...Petitioner
Versus
State of U.T. Chandigarh & Others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, P.P. U.T., Chandigarh with
Mr. Shubham Mangla, Advocate
for the respondent-U.T., Chandigarh.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

The aforesaid petition was filed on 26.08.2021 by Sh. Charanpreet Singh, Advocate. The Registry had raised objection on the case file.

2. Counsel for the State on instructions submits that that after filing of the present petition the parties have filed a quashing petition registered bearing no.CRM-M-37220 of 2021 which was dismissed as withdrawn on 25.05.2022.
3. As per Rule 9, Chapter 1, Part-A, Volume V, Punjab and Haryana High Court Rules and Orders, the petition on which objections have been raised by the Registry is to be taken back by the counsel/party, who filed it, to be re-filed within a total period of 40 days. The same was not taken back for re-filing after removal of objections. On failure, the case is to be listed before the Court for orders. Note was published by the Registry in the cause list, whereby the advocates were requested to collect their all cases lying with objections for re-filing after removal of objections.
4. It was further notified that all pending cases of this type will be listed in Court for appropriate order. The case was shown in the cause list. No one is present on behalf of the petitioner today nor on the last date of hearing. Keeping in view the aforesaid factual matrix, no further order is required to be passed and the same is disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

29.11.2024

Sonia Puri
Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No.