



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5339-2024(O&M)
Date of Decision: June 03, 2024

Shoukin
...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others
...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI

Present: Mr.Aditya Partap Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARCHANA PURI, J.

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India, for issuance of writ in the nature of habeas corpus for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3, to get the detenues, as detailed in paragraph No.2 of the petition, released from the illegal detention of respondents No.4 and 5.

Reference is made to the Division Bench of this Court in LPA No.32 of 2013, titled '*Murti versus The State of Punjab and others*', wherein observations were made as herein given:-

“It may be mentioned here that the allegations of the appellant in the writ petition are that the alleged detenues mentioned in para No.3 of the writ petition who are working as labourers at the brick kiln of respondent Nos. 4 & 5 are being kept as bonded



labours. There can indeed be no doubt that if a labourer has been detained as bonded labour, it amounts to an offence under Sections 16 & 17 of the Bonded Labour (Abolition) Act, 1976. We, however, clarify that the aforesaid observation does not mean that the allegations levelled by the appellant have been accepted. Suffice it to observe that under the Act, the District Magistrate is under statutory obligation to hold a fact finding enquiry as and when a complaint alleging violation of the provisions of Bonded Labour (Abolition) Act, 1976 is received. Since the appellant in the instant case has specifically averred that the persons mentioned in para No.3 of the writ petition have been detained as bonded labourers, we allow this appeal and set-aside/modify the order dated 9.1.2013 passed by the learned Single Judge to the extent that the petitioner's writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the District Magistrate, Sangrur, to treat this writ petition as a complaint under the 1976 Act and take immediate action in accordance with law, within a period of one week from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order alongwith a copy of the writ petition.”

In view of the aforesaid dictum, this Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of with the direction to the District Magistrate, Sonipat, to treat this petition as complaint and to take immediate action in accordance with law, within a period of seven days, from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, along with a copy of the petition.

June 03, 2024
Vgulati

(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No