



210

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29397-2024

Date of Decision:- 12.07.2024

SUKHDEEP SINGH @ SUKHI @ SATNAM SINGHPetitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Ms. Jasneet Mehra, Advocate for Ms. Jainika Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Akshay Kumar, AAG, Punjab

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner – Sukhdeep Singh @ Sukhi @ Satnam Singh has filed the instant petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in FIR No.30 dated 16.02.2024 under Sections 386, 506 IPC, 1860 (deleted Section 386 IPC and added Section 387 IPC) registered at Police Station City Sri Muktsar Sahib, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

2. Brief facts of the case are that Sandeep Kumar complainant stated that on 07.02.2024 at about 11 pm, he received a call from mobile No.99140-64192 and the said caller claimed that he is from Lawrance Group and demanded ransom of Rs.30 lakhs for his safety and safety of his family members. The said call was received everyday at about 10 pm. The calls and messages were saved and recorded. During this time, he noticed



that his employee Sukhdeep @ Sukhi @ Satnam Singh used to behave in a strange manner and he got suspicious. Later-on he found that Sandeep @ Sukhi used to meet Mahinder Singh and both of them used to give threatening call demanding ransom. With these allegations, present FIR has been registered.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that matter has been compromised with the complainant and on the basis of compromise for quashing of present FIR, CRM-M-28176-2024 has been filed in which parties have been directed to appear before the trial Court for recording of their statements. The present petitioner will abide by the terms of bail order. It is submitted that his regular bail application may be allowed.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel filed status report. It is pointed out that present petitioner is specifically named and specific role is attributed to him. Allegations are serious in nature. The extract of telephone conversation is placed on record as Annexure R-1/T. However, it is confirmed that the accused have filed petition for quashing of FIR.

5. I have considered the arguments and have gone through the record. From perusal of copy of order dated 30.05.2024 passed in “CRM-M-28176-2024 titled Mahender Singh and another Vs. State of Punjab and another”, it is clear that parties have reconciled and petition has been filed for quashing of FIR wherein parties are directed to appear before the trial Court for recording of their statements.

6. Considering the aforesaid factual position, the regular bail application filed by the present petitioner Sukhdeep Singh @ Sukhi @ Satnam Singh is allowed. He is ordered to be released on bail to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Judge.



- 7. The petition stands accepted.
- 8. Pending application (s) if any also stands disposed of.

12.07.2024
snd

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.
Whether reportable: Yes/No