

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CM-3936-CWP-2024 IN/AND
CWP-15950-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.03.2024

SUSHILA DAHIYA

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RITU TAGORE

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh Punia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. AG Haryana with
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. On the oral request of the learned counsel for the parties, the main case is taken up today for hearing.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks, and, is granted permission to withdraw the present petition.
3. Dismissed as withdrawn, but with liberty to avail the remedy of appeal against the impugned (Annexure P-22), before the competent appellate authority. If the said statutory appeal is time barred, thereupon the competent appellate authority may favourably consider an application filed by the present petitioner under Section 14 of the Limitation Act. Moreover, the appellate authority is also directed to, within 3 months from its preferment make a lawful speaking decision thereons.
4. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand(s), disposed of.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

07.03.2024

(RITU TAGORE)
JUDGE

Ithlesh