

**CWP-21417-2024****1**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**(109)****CWP-21417-2024****Date of Decision : August 31, 2024****Sharda****.. Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab and others****.. Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Amrindra Pratap Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)**

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that the service benefits in respect of the service rendered by the late husband of the petitioner have not been released and further that the family pension of the petitioner has been reduced from Rs.22,995/- to Rs.14,198/- w.e.f. October 2022 and that too without any valid justification hence, the respondents be directed to release the leave encashment admissible to the husband of the petitioner at the time of his death along with interest and to give the entitled pension to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioner in the representation dated 24.03.2024 (Annexure P-3) which is still pending

**CWP-21417-2024****2**

consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, learned Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the representation dated 24.03.2024 (Annexure P-3) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision any relief is to be extended to the petitioner, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for her information and necessary action.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

**August 31, 2024***harsha***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No