

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-16132-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.01.2024

Gurinder Singh and Another
.....Petitioners
Versus
State of Punjab and Another
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. K.L. Arora, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. T.P.S. Walia, AAG, Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

Prayer in this writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of a writ in nature of certiorari, mandamus, and direct the respondents/authorities to complete the backlog of Law Graduates in promotion quota to the post of E.O.III, consider them and promote them accordingly from the date of their quota fell vacant along with all the consequential benefits or to direct the respondents to implement the Quota Rules for promotion to the post of E.O.III provided in the Amended Rules of 2014 for considering and giving promotion to the Law Graduates from the admissible dates and further to direct the respondent/authorities that whenever promotion are made to the post of E.O. III either on ad hoc basis or on stop gap arrangement or on CDC basis or on the basis of any other arrangement, the quota of promotion as provided in 2014 Rules for Law Graduates be not ignored and also to direct the respondent/authorities for holding DPCs and for giving promotions to the post of E.O.III, as per the Quota

Rules, instead of giving promotions on ad hoc basis/stop gap arrangement basis/CDC basis/other arrangements without holding DPC and further to direct the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners in their promotion quota of Law Graduates against the 6 posts of E.Os. lying vacant and promote them as such from the date the promotion quota was left incomplete and lastly, to direct that the petitioners be given all consequential benefits of salary, after giving them promotion as E.O. III from admissible date for promotion-quota for Law Graduates with interest @ 18% per annum as per the Full Bench Judgment of this Court in R.S. Randhawa's case.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that since the relief claimed in the present petition has already been granted by the respondents vide order dated 13.10.2023 and petitioner No.1 has been promoted as Executive Officer w.e.f. 28.04.2023 notionally and actually from 12.10.2023, therefore, the instant writ petition may be disposed of having been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

10.01.2024
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No