



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(109)

CWP No. 14561 of 2024

Date of Decision : 10.07.2024

Vikram Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vinay Puri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at the time of filling up the application form for the post of Multipurpose Health Worker (Male) as advertised vide Advertisement dated 24.11.2016 (Annexure P-1), the petitioner wrongly filled up his reserved category as Schedule Caste (M & B) instead of Schedule Caste (R & O) category. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the grievance was raised before the authorities concerned for allowing the petitioner to correct his reserved category in the application form but no action was taken by the respondents, which is causing prejudice to him.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated Nil (Annexure P-7) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a



direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Charanpreet Singh, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated Nil (Annexure P-7) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and will be duly conveyed to him.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

July 10, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No