



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

1053

CWP-17563 of 2013
Date of Decision:22.10.2024

Krishan Kumar

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Subhash Ahuja, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer made in the present petition is for refixation of the pay of the petitioner by adding benefit of one increment each on his promotion to the posts of Assistant Painter as well as Painter in terms of the Notification dated 04.03.2014.
2. Learned counsel has produced a copy of order dated 04.03.2014, wherein the grade pay has been fixed at Rs.4200/- instead of Rs.3600/- during the pendency of the present petition, however the benefits under the said notification have not been extended to the petitioner. He relies on the judgment passed by this Court in **Hans Raj vs. State of Haryana and others**, CWP-8824-2013, decided on 12.09.2023, wherein permission was granted to a similarly situated employee, who belongs to the same depot as that of petitioner, to file a representation and prays that he would be satisfied, in case a time bound direction is given to the respondents to consider his claim, keeping in view the aforesaid judgment.

3. Learned State counsel has no objection to the limited prayer made and submits that in case the petitioner files a representation claiming the benefit under the aforesaid notification, his claim shall be considered.

4. In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction that in case the petitioner submits a representation within 4 weeks, the same be decided expeditiously, in accordance with law, taking note of the afore-referred judgment, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner. Upon doing so, after notice and hearing offered to him and if found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same shall contain reasons and the petitioner shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

October 22, 2024
Hemant

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No