



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

109

CR-3743-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 22.10.2024

HARYANA SHAHARI VIKAS PRADHIKARAN (ERSTWHILE
HUDA)

.... Petitioner

V/S

DLF LTD AND ANR

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. P.S. Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Randeep S. Rai, Senior Advocate and
Mr. Amit Jhanji, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Tushar Sharma, Advocate,
Ms. Zaheen Kaur, Advocate,
Ms. Radhika Mehta, Advocate and
Ms. Kudrit Kaur Sara, Advocate for respondent No.1

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside order dated 07.10.2023 passed by the learned Additional District Judge, Panchkula, whereby application under Section 14 read with Section 15 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short 'the Arbitration Act') seeking termination of the mandate of the sole Arbitrator-respondent No.2 has been dismissed.

2. It cannot be disputed that during the pendency of the instant revision petition, the arbitral proceedings have concluded and the learned Arbitrator has passed an award on 26.07.2023. Petition filed by the petitioner under Sections 14 and 15 of the Arbitration Act, came to be decided vide the impugned order after the culmination of arbitral proceedings. The apprehension of the counsel for the petitioner is that the



CR-3743-2024 (O&M)

-2-

observations made in the impugned order would stand in his way as he has already filed objections under Section 34 of the Arbitration Act.

3. Accordingly, it is ordered that the learned Additional District Judge, who is seized of the objection petition under Section 34 of the Arbitration Act, would decide the same on merits uninfluenced by any observation made by the Court in its order dated 07.10.2023.

4. Revision petition is disposed of.

22.10.2024
pooja saini

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether Speaking/Reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>