



CRM-M-29612-2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

117

CRM-M-29612-2024
Decided on: 06.09.2024

Sandeep Hooda ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Vikas Lochab, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
0027	05.02.2019	Salhawas, District Jhajjar	120-B & 388 IPC and Sections 7/13 of PC Act

1. Seeking quashing of the above captioned FIR, the petitioner has come up before this Court by filing the present petition under Section 482 CrPC.
2. After arguing for some time, counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw the present petition with a prayer that he be permitted to file a discharge application before the trial Court and the said Court be directed to answer each and every point so raised by him in the said application.
3. Given above, petitioner is permitted to file a precise application for discharge, but such application should not be unnecessarily lengthy and if such application is filed in that case, the concerned Court shall decide the same by passing a reasoned and speaking order and shall answer to each and every point taken up in the said application. It is clarified that petitioner shall not seek any adjournment to argue the matter before the trial Court. Liberty reserved to challenge the order of charges, if framed.



CRM-M-29612-2024

4. Petition is disposed of in the terms mentioned above. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

06.09.2024
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No.