


IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

124

2024.PHHC:080927



CR-3547-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 01.07.2024

SEEMA ..Petitioner

Versus

SATISH AND OTHERS ..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Ramesh Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. The petitioner herein is the plaintiff in a civil suit for decree of permanent injunction, which is pending before the trial Court. She is aggrieved of observations made by the trial Court to the effect that the sale proceeds of the crop shall remain in the custody of Station House Officer (SHO), who would deposit the same in the appropriate head.
2. This Bench has heard the learned counsel representing the petitioner at length and with their able assistance perused the paperbook.
3. The learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that the suit land fell to the share of the petitioner in the partition proceedings. He submits that the trial Court has already protected the petitioner's interest by providing police help to harvest the crop, which has been harvested, however, the Court has directed the sale proceeds to remain in custody of Station House Officer (SHO).
4. This Court has considered the submissions of the learned counsel representing the petitioner.
5. The order passed by the trial Court is interim in nature. The

civil suit is already pending. The crop has already been harvested.

6. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the revision petition is disposed of with the observation that the petitioner, if so advised, may file appropriate application before the trial Court for investing the aforesaid sale proceeds in Fixed Deposit Receipt (FDR) of nationalized bank with highest interest so as to secure the interest of the parties.

7. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

July 01st, 2024

Ay

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*