

228

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-16944-2022
Date of Decision: 25.11.2024

Neha Rani Petitioner
Versus

State of Haryana and others Respondents

CWP-17275-2022

Balraj Singh Petitioner
Versus

State of Haryana and others Respondents

CWP-17730-2022

Rahul Petitioner
Versus

State of Haryana and others Respondents

CWP-18107-2022

Amit Kumar Petitioner
Versus

State of Haryana and others Respondents

CWP-21632-2022

Satyawan Petitioner
Versus

State of Haryana and others Respondents

CWP-21988-2022

Raman Petitioner
Versus

State of Haryana and others Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI**

Present: Mr. Virender Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner
in CWP-16944, 17275, 17730, 18107-2022.

Dr. Rishi Pal Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner
in CWP-21632 & 21988-2022.


Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. P.C. Chaudhary, Advocate
for respondents No.3 & 4
in CWP-16944, 17275, 17730, 18107-2022 &
for respondents No.3, 4 & 6 in CWP-21632 & 21988-2022.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

1. At the outset, all the learned counsels for the parties are *ad idem* that the present petition may be disposed of with a direction to the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Karnal to consider and decide the grievance of the petitioners by considering and referring to the judgment of this Court passed in ***CWP-21671-2022*** titled as “***Kavita Vs. State of Haryana and others***”, ***decided on 07.03.2024*** and also by considering the order dated 12.04.2022 (Annexure P-12 in CWP-16944-2022) vide which the benefit has been granted to another employee, namely, Meenu Bedi by passing an order after hearing the petitioners or their counsels.

2. In view of the aforesaid position, all the petitions are disposed of with a direction to the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Karnal to pass a fresh order pertaining to the grievance raised by the petitioners by considering and referring to the aforesaid judgment of this Court passed in ***Kavita's case (supra)*** and also by considering the order (Annexure P-12 in CWP-16944-2022) vide which the benefit has been granted to another



employee, namely, Meenu Bedi after hearing the petitioners or their counsel and strictly in accordance with law within a period of three months from today.

25.11.2024
Bhumika

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable: | Yes/No |