



CM-10538-CWP-2024 in/& -1-
CWP-13045-2016

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

105+211

CM-10538-CWP-2024 in/&
CWP-13045-2016
Date of Decision :19.11.2024

Surinder Pal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. H.C. Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Anshul Pareek, Advocate for
Mr. Saurav Verma, Advocate for respondent No.3.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

CM-10538-CWP-2024

As prayed for, application is allowed.

Additional affidavit of the petitioner along with Annexures P/14

& P/15 is taken on record

CWP-13045-2016

In the present petition, though initial argument which has been raised by the learned counsel for the petitioner is that as the name of the petitioner was cleared by the Committee constituted by the respondents for promotion to the post of Professor in Sanskrit alongwith the other associate



**CM-10538-CWP-2024 in/&
CWP-13045-2016**

-2-

Professors, who were promoted vide Annexure P/9 but during the course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as in the reply filed on behalf of the respondents it has been mentioned that vide Annexure P/9, the employees up to the Sr. No.1006 were promoted whereas, the seniority position of the petitioner is at Sr. No.1018 and no junior of the petitioner has been promoted as Professor hence, the petitioner does not wish to press the present petition qua the grant of promotion to the post of Professor with effect from the date the other candidates were promoted vide Annexure P/9.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that during the pendency of the present petition, the petitioner has been promoted as Professor in the year 2019 but two other candidate namely, Ambika Beri and Jagtar Singh, who were junior to the petitioner keeping in view the seniority list, have been promoted prior to the date of promotion of the petitioner as Professor and hence, the petitioner is entitled for promotion as Professor with effect from the date the above mentioned two candidates were promoted.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, any representation is received from the petitioner raising the issue with regard to promotion of junior of petitioner, the same will be examined in accordance with law and an appropriate speaking order will be passed within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of any such representation and in case, it is found feasible to grant the relief to the petitioner, the same will be granted to him otherwise, due reasons for not accepting the claim of the petitioner will be mentioned in the speaking order so passed, which order will be conveyed to the petitioner for his information and necessary action.

CM-10538-CWP-2024 in/& -3-
CWP-13045-2016

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

Ordered accordingly.

Civil miscellaneous application pending, if any, is also disposed of.

November 19, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No