

(262)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-30006-2023 (O & M)

Date of Decision: 12.01.2024

Jaskaran Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Vishal Khatkar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Goel, DAG, Haryana.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

The prayer in the present petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for the grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.72 dated 19.03.2023 under Sections 279, 336, 420, 467 IPC & Section 15(c), 17 of the NDPS Act registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Jind.

2. On 08.06.2023, the following order was passed:-

“Jaskaran Singh has filed anticipatory bail petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in FIR No. 72 dated 19.03.2023 registered under Section 279, 336, 420, 467 of IPC and Section 15(c), 17 of NDPS Act at Police Station Civil Line, District Jind.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of this Court, Ms. Mayuri Lakhanpal, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Adjourned to 05.07.2023.

Status report be filed by respondent – State by the adjourned date.”.

(262)

On 05.07.2023, the following order was passed:-

“Learned State counsel prays for more time to file the status report on behalf of the respondent-State.

Needful be done well before the next date.

At her request, adjourned to 12.09.2023.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been implicated only on the basis of disclosure statement of the main accused, from whom recovery has been effected. The petitioner is not involved in any other case/FIR and the same has been specifically averred in para No.7 of the petition, supported by affidavit.

In view of the above, no coercive steps be taken against the petitioner in the present case solely on the basis of disclosure statement referred above till the next date of hearing”.

On 12.09.2023, the following order was passed:-

In CRM-M-43699-2023, status report by way of affidavit dated 09.09.2023 has been filed by learned State counsel in Court today. The same is taken on record. A copy thereof furnished to learned counsel for the petitioner, who seeks time to go through the same.

List on 29.11.2023.

Interim order to continue till the next date.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the connected file”.

On 10.01.2024, the following order was passed:-

“List again on on 12.01.2024.

In the meantime, the petitioners are directed to join the investigation.

Interim order to continue.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case”.

(262)

3. Despite the aforementioned orders having been passed, the petitioner has not joined the investigation till date.

4. In view of the above, the present petition is ordered to be dismissed.

5. The interim order stands vacated.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

January 12, 2024
sukhpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No