

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5302-2024 (O/M)

Date of decision : 07.06.2024

Siksha Bai

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present :- Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Praveen Badhu, Additional A.G. Haryana.

-. -

-. -

HARSH BUNGER, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of a writ in the nature of habeas corpus seeking directions to locate the whereabouts of the detenu (Pardeep Nath), who is stated to be illegally detained by respondents No. 4 to 14.

2. In compliance to the order dated 03.06.2024, the alleged detenu, namely, Pardeep Nath, has been produced by SI Naresh Kumar in Court today itself. Photocopy of Aadhar Card of Pardeep Nath, filed in Court today itself, is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

3. During the course of the hearing, Pardeep Nath was confronted with the statement made by the petitioner herein in para-7 of the petition, which reads as under :-

“7. That now the petitioner has come to know that her son Detenu-Pardeep Nath has been illegally detained by Dunger Nath S/o Sh. Tara Nath, Ram Karan Nath S/o Tara Nath, Sanjay Nath S/o Ram Karan Nath R/o Village Darewala, Tehsil Dabwali,

District Sirsa and Jagdish Nath S/o Tara Nath, Mandeep Nath S/o Jagdish Nath R/o Village Ratta Khera, Kutewadh, District Sirsa and Munna Nath S/o Mool Nath, Ravi Nath S/o Munna Nath R/o Village Matta Panni Wala, Tehsil and District Sirsa and Palki Devi W/o Late Sh. Duni Nath, Shekhar Nath S/o Late Sh. Duni Nath, Ajmer Nath S/o Tara Nath, Rajiv Nath S/o Naram Nath R/o Village Darewala, Tehsil Dabwali, District Sirsa in connivance with their other associates and have kept the son of the petitioner forcefully with intention to harm the son of the petitioner.”

4. Pardeep Nath has stated that the said statement is not correct. He has not been detained by anyone, rather he was at Flaudi, Jodhpur, Rajasthan, where he is stated to be working in some Solar Plant.
5. In view of the aforesaid statement made by Pardeep Nath, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not want to press this petition any further.
6. Dismissed as not pressed.
7. It is directed that Pardeep Nath be set free.
8. Pending application (s), if any, shall also stand closed.

(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE

07.06.2024
sjks

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No