



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(131)

CWP No. 14356 of 2024
Date of Decision : 01.07.2024

Manvir Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Swapan Shorey, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioners is that the petitioners had completed one year of service before their retirement but the benefit of increment for the said service was not granted to them.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Civil Appeal No. 2471 of 2023 titled as **The Director (Admn. and HR) KPTCL and Ors. Vs. C.P. Mundingamani and Ors.** decided on 11.04.2023, the petitioners are entitled for the grant of benefit of one increment and thereafter, entitled for the revision of their pensionary benefits accordingly.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated



06.02.2024 (Annexure P-4) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Swapan Shorey, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated 06.02.2024 (Annexure P-4) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned State counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioners, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioners in the speaking order to be passed.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

July 01, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No