



CWP-14212-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

127

CWP-14212-2024

Date of Decision :31.05.2024

Laxmi Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Parkash Chahar, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that petitioner though adjusted as Supervisor but is not being paid the same pay scale as being paid to the other similarly situated Supervisors, which act on the part of the respondents is arbitrary and illegal.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the question raised in the present petition has already been decided by this Court while passing order in CWP No. 18041 of 2020 titled as ***Sudesh Malik Vs. The State of Haryana and another***, decided on 01.04.2024 and keeping in view the settled principle of law, the petitioner is also entitled for the benefits as extended to the petitioner in ***Sudesh Malik's case (supra)***.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner will be satisfied in case, the claim of the petitioner is decided by the



CWP-14212-2024

-2-

respondents by passing appropriate speaking order keeping in view the decision of this Court in ***Sudesh Malik's case (supra)***.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, any representation is filed by the petitioner in the office of the concerned authorities, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order by keeping in mind the decision of this Court in ***Sudesh Malik's case(supra)***.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

7. Ordered accordingly.

May 31, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No