

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-35051-2021
Date of Decision:25.04.2024

Angrej Singh @ Pappu and others ...Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and Others ...Respondents

Coram : Hon’ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Neeraj Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Arshdeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Nikhil Kad, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of an FIR No. 155 dated 22.09.2020 under Sections 336,452,506,323,324,379-B,148,149 of IPC and under Section 25 of Arms Act (Offence under Sections 307 and 326 of IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Gharinda, Amritsar Rural (Annexure P-1) alongwith all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).
2. Vide order dated 05.01.2023, Co-ordinate Bench of this Court had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with respect to the compromise (Annexure P-2).
3. Pursuant to aforesaid order, the parties have appeared before the

CJM, Amritsar and got their statements recorded. Report dated 04.04.2024 has been received whereby after recording the statements of the parties, the Court below has shown its satisfaction that the compromise is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the offence under Section 307 of IPC has been added in the present case only to make the offence graver as the Kulwant Kaur, injured had suffered nine injuries and out of those, only one injury on right hand finger was declared to be grievous in nature. He further contends that no injury has been declared to be dangerous to life and the offence under Section 307 of IPC is not made out in the facts and circumstances of the present case.

5. Learned State counsel could not dispute the said submissions.

6. I have heard counsel for the parties and gone through the case file.

7. In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court below and considering the offence for which the accused have been charged are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out the differences for betterment of future life of the parties and also in view of the law laid down in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the present petition for quashing the FIR is allowed qua the petitioners.

8. Resultantly, FIR No. 155 dated 22.09.2020 under Sections 336,452,506,323,324,379-B,148,149 of IPC and under Section 25 of Arms Act (Offence under Sections 307 and 326 of IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Gharinda, Amritsar Rural (Annexure P-1) alongwith all

consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

25.04.2024
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No