



207

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30537-2024

Date of Decision:- 20.08.2024

SHOKEEN

....Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Arjun Atri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner – Shokeen has filed the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.70 dated 13.05.2024 under Sections 3/13 (1), 8/13 (3) and 5/13 of The Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan and Gausamvardhan Act, 2015 registered at Police Station Uttawar, District Palwal, Haryana.

2. As per the facts of the case on 13.05.2024 ASI Gulab Singh and the police party received secret information from a reliable source that Aijaz, Shokeen and Rahish @ Pittal were doing the business of cow slaughtering and were selling beef on that day. On the basis of this information, raid was conducted in the area of village Roopraka where the aforesaid persons were spotted doing the work of slaughtering. On seeing



police party they ran away from there. Informer duly identified Aijaz, Shokeen and Rahish @ Pittal and from the said place butchered beef on a plastic sheet, tools used for cow slaughtered, axe, iron knife, etc. along with two cows and a calf were recovered. The beef found on the spot weighed which came out to be 57.970 kg. All the above-said articles including two cows and a calf were taken into police possession. On the basis of aforesaid allegations, present FIR was registered.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner argued that he is falsely implicated in this case. He is not involved in any other FIR. He is ready to join the investigation. Therefore, anticipatory bail application may be allowed.

4. Bail application is opposed by learned counsel representing State on the ground that present petitioner was identified on the spot by informer but they managed to escape. Therefore, he is not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

5. I have considered the arguments and have gone through the record. As per status report, present petitioner is not involved in any other FIR. He is named in the present case but allegedly managed to escape. Alleged recovery has already been effected from the spot. Petitioner is ready to join the investigation. No purpose would be served by sending petitioner behind bars.

6. Considering the aforesaid factual position, anticipatory bail application filed by petitioner is allowed. On arrest, he be released on bail to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer subject to the condition that petitioner will join the investigation as and when required.

He will not tamper with or interfere with the investigation and will not



leave the country without prior permission as provided under Section 438

(2) Cr.P.C.

7. The petition is accordingly accepted.

8. My observations are made for the disposal of this petition and it will have no bearing on merits of case.

9. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly.

20.08.2024

snd

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.

Whether reportable: Yes/No