



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(124)

CWP No. 14287 of 2024
Date of Decision : 01.07.2024

Rajinder Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Guru Angad Dev Veterinary and Animal Sciences University,
Ludhiana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rajesh Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is qua the selection made to the post of Sweeper in pursuance to the Advertisement No. 2/2018 (Annexure P-1).
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the selected candidates either do not fulfill the qualification or have been selected for extraneous consideration and the claim of the petitioner, which was genuine, has been rejected at the cost of the private respondents who have been selected on the post in question.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance with regard to the selection has already been raised by the petitioner vide representation dated 04.02.2024 (Annexure P-5), which is still pending consideration with the respondent-University and the petitioner will be



satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Anu Chatrath, Senior Advocate with Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who are present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-University and submits that in case, the representation dated 04.02.2024 (Annexure P-5) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

July 01, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No