


2024:PHHC:080809



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

118

CR-3521-2024

Date of Decision.:01.07.2024

Chander Bhan

Petitioner

Vs.

Kamal Krishan

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA


Present: Mr. H.P.S. Ghuman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

In an ejectment petition filed by the respondent Kamal Krishan, the petitioner (tenant) moved an application to dismiss the petition on the ground that no date of tenancy had been mentioned nor the necessary documents whereby the landlord had purchased the property had been given. The application has been dismissed by way of impugned order dated 18.04.2024, which is challenged by way of the present petition before this Court.

Heard.

The impugned order would reveal that it is specifically observed that respondent (petitioner herein) had admitted the fact that he was a tenant in the demised shop, which had now been purchased by petitioner (respondent herein) from the earlier landlord. The said admission was made in the earlier litigation.



CR-3521-2024

-2-

In view of the aforesaid reasoning, this Court does not find any
illegality in the impugned order.

No merits.

Dismissed.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

July 01, 2024
Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No