

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(223)

CWP-22339-2011

Date of decision: - 14.02.2024

Vipan Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State Transport Appellate Tribunal and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ferry Sofat, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Rohit Kapoor, Advocate
for respondents No.3 to 9.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the order dated 20.10.2011 (Annexure P-3) passed by respondent No.1.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has very fairly submitted that the petitioner is not in touch with his office, thus, the present writ petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, but liberty be granted to the petitioner to revive the present writ petition in case any cause survives.
3. In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, however, liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an application in case any cause survives.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

February 14, 2024
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No