



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

167

CRWP-5257-2024**Date of decision: 31.05.2024**

Simranjeet Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Rishav Kamboj, Advocate and
Mr. Anshul Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3, to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7, who are averse to their live in relationship.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners at the outset submits that since the petitioners are residing in District Fazilka, representation has been moved to Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, however, in the memo of parties Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar Rural has been wrongly impleaded as respondent No.2 in place of Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka. He, thus, prays that he may be allowed to correct the memo of parties accordingly.

3. On his oral request, Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka is ordered to be impleaded as respondent No.2 in place of



CRWP-5257-2024

-2-

Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar Rural. Registry to do the needful in the paperbook.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 aged 20 years and petitioner No.2 aged 18 years, are living in a live in relationship, however, the private respondents who are immediate family members of the petitioners, have not been able to accept their live in relationship as a result of which they have been continuously extending threats of dire consequences to them. Resultantly, a representation dated 29.05.2024 (Annexure P-3) was also made to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, for protection of their life and liberty but in vain. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka to look into their representation dated 29.05.2024 (Annexure P-3) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

5. Notice of motion.

6. On asking of the Court, Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

7. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka to look into the representation dated 29.05.2024 (Annexure P-3) *qua* the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will have no effect on any civil or

CRWP-5257-2024

-3-

criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

31.05.2024

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)

Vinay

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No