



CRWP-5309-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

107

CRWP-5309-2024

Date of decision: 03.06.2024

HARPREET KAUR AND ANR

....PETITIONERS

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Navjot Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. S.S. Aulakh, AAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 3

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioners, through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India are seeking protection of their lives and liberty as guaranteed by Article 21 of the Constitution of India.

2. Notice of motion.

3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. S.S. Aulakh, AAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3-State. Service of notice to the private respondents is dispensed with as no adverse order is passed against them.

4. As per petition and documents enclosed therewith i.e. Aadhar Card issued by the Government of India, petitioner No. 1- Harpreet Kaur is aged 28 years and petitioner No.2- Sandeep Singh is aged 39 years. The petitioners are major and they have solemnized marriage on 12.09.2023. In support of their



contention, the petitioners have enclosed marriage certificate dated 12.09.2023 (Annexure P-3) issued by Gurudwara.

5. The petitioners have made a representation dated 28.05.2024 (Annexure P-5) to Senior Superintendent of Police, District Fatehgarh Sahib seeking protection of life and liberty. The petitioners have no apprehension of causing any injury on the part of the police or other Government authorities whereas they are claiming that there is apprehension that their relatives may cause injury and put their lives in danger.

6. While dealing with interference in conjugal life, the Apex Court in Lata Singh versus State of U.P. (2006) 5 SCC 475 has held:-

“The caste system is a curse on the nation and the sooner it is destroyed the better. In fact, it is dividing the nation at a time when we have to be united to face the challenges before the nation unitedly. Hence, inter-caste marriages are in fact in the national interest as they will result in destroying the caste system. However, disturbing news are coming from several parts of the country that young men and women who undergo intercaste marriage, are threatened with violence, or violence is actually committed on them. In our opinion, such acts of violence or threats or harassment are wholly illegal and those who commit them must be severely punished. This is a free and democratic country, and once a person becomes a major he or she can marry whosoever he/she likes. If the parents of the boy or girl do not approve of such inter-caste or inter-religious marriage the maximum they can do is that they can cut off social relations with the son or the daughter, but they cannot give threats or commit or instigate acts of violence and cannot harass the person who undergoes such inter-caste or



interreligious marriage. We, therefore, direct that the administration/police authorities throughout the country will see to it that if any boy or girl who is a major undergoes intercaste or interreligious marriage with a woman or man who is a major, the couple are not harassed by any one nor subjected to threats or acts of violence, and any one who gives such threats or harasses or commits acts of violence either himself or at his instigation, is taken to task by instituting criminal proceedings by the police against such persons and further stern action is taken against such persons as provided by law”.

7. In terms of the Hon'ble Supreme Court observations, the official respondents are directed to examine the representations of the petitioners and to ensure protection while appreciating the fact that there is threat to their lives. The disposal of present petition shall not be construed as protection to petitioners from their civil or criminal liability which, if any, they have incurred in past or may incur in future. This order further would not stamp/legalize their marriage, if it was not otherwise permissible by law.

8. Disposed of.

03.06.2024
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No