



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
210

CRM-M-29824-2023

Date of Decision:24.05.2024

Arun Kumar

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - Mr. Pankaj Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S.Chahal, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Priyanshu Kamra, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner, who is the husband of the complainant/respondent No.2 herein has filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., for quashing of FIR No. 79 dated 13.12.2022 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 498-A, 406 IPC, at Police Station Bathinda, Punjab, on the basis of compromise dated 21.03.2023 (Annexure P-2) effected between the parties.

Pursuant to the order dated 04.03.2024 passed by this Court, the parties appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class-cum-CJJD, Bathinda, to get their statements recorded. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class-cum-CJJD, Bathinda, has submitted his report along with statements of the parties vide letter dated 05.04.2024 duly forwarded by the learned District & Sessions Judge, Bathinda.

A perusal of the above said report would show that the petitioner and respondent No. 2 have appeared and suffered statements with respect to the compromise, which have been found to be valid,



genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present FIR emanates from the matrimonial dispute between the parties. He further submits that as per report, the petitioner and respondent No. 2 are the only party to the compromise and have never been declared as proclaimed offenders. They are not involved in any other case. He further submits that the parties have been granted divorce under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act vide judgment and decree dated 11.10.2023 passed by learned Additional Principal Judge (Family Court), Bathinda. A copy of the said judgment has been supplied by learned counsel for the petitioner in Court today, which is taken on record.

Learned State counsel has stated that he has 'no objection' in case the FIR is quashed on the basis of compromise qua the petitioner.

This Court has heard the learned counsel for the parties and has perused the file.

After perusing the report submitted by the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class-cum-CJJD, Bathinda, this Court finds that the matter has been amicably settled between the petitioner and respondent No. 2. Since the matter has been settled and the parties have decided to live in peace, this Court feels that in order to secure the ends of justice, the criminal proceedings deserve to be quashed.

As per the Full Bench judgment of this Court in "**Kulwinder Singh and others Vs State of Punjab**", 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, it is held that High Court has power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to allow the compounding of non-compoundable offence and quash the



proceedings where the High Court is of the opinion that the same is required to prevent the abuse of the process of law or otherwise to secure the ends of justice. This power of quashing is not confined to matrimonial disputes alone.

Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of “*Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another*”, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543, had also observed that in order to secure the ends of justice or to prevent the abuse of process of Court, inherent power can be used by this Court to quash criminal proceedings in which a compromise has been effected. The relevant portion of para 57 of the said judgment is reproduced here-in-below:-

“57. The position that emerges from the above discussion can be summarised thus: the power of the High Court in quashing a criminal proceeding or FIR or complaint in exercise of its inherent jurisdiction is distinct and different from the power given to a criminal court for compounding the offences under Section 320 of the Code. Inherent power is of wide plenitude with no statutory limitation but it has to be exercised in accord with the guideline engrafted in such power viz; (i) to secure the ends of justice or (ii) to prevent abuse of the process of any Court.”

In view of what has been discussed here-in-above, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 79 dated 13.12.2022 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 498-A, 406 IPC, at Police Station Bathinda, Punjab, on the basis of compromise dated 21.03.2023 (Annexure P-2), are ordered to be quashed qua the petitioner.

24.05.2024
Divyanshi

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No