

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(205)

CWP-12248-2016

Date of Decision : January 11, 2024

Raj Pal**.. Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana and others****.. Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Sandeep Goyat, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present writ petition was already decided by the Coordinate Bench of this Court on 19.11.2018 and a direction was given to pass appropriate order considering the case of the petitioner for regularization from the date the junior has been regularized in service by the respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the said order was passed on the basis of the judgment of the Coordinate Bench in CWP No. 2009 of 2016 titled as Balwinder Singh and others vs. State of Haryana and others, decided on 04.10.2018, which judgment has already been upheld by the Division Bench.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has no hesitation that the said order be maintained and the respondents be directed to pass appropriate order considering the claim of

the petitioner for regularization of his services w.e.f. the date person junior to him were promoted.

4. Learned State counsel submits that the State has no objection to consider the claim of the petitioner for regularization of his services w.e.f the date person junior to the petitioner was appointed so as to pass appropriate order and in case claim of the petitioner is found feasible, appropriate relief will be extended while passing speaking order, which order will be passed within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, no further grievance of the petitioner survives in the present petition and the same may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. The present writ petition is disposed of in terms of the statement of learned State counsel as recorded in para 4 of the order.

January 11, 2024

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No