



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

157

CRM-M-29002-2024
Date of decision: 31.05.2024

HARNEK SINGHPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR. ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. P.K.Kapila, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C., the petitioner has sought the concession of his being enlarged on anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.43 dated 13.04.2024, under Sections 406, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, and 120-B of the IPC, registered at Police Station Bhadson, District Patiala, Punjab.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is ready and willing to surrender before the learned trial Court concerned/ learned Illaqa Magistrate concerned, however, in case mandamus is passed upon the learned trial Court concerned, that in case the petitioner preferred an application for regular bail, the same be decided in a time bound manner.
3. **Dismissed as withdrawn**, however, in case the petitioner appears



before the learned trial Court concerned, within 10 days, and move a proper application for grant of regular bail before the learned trial Court concerned, the latter shall make every endeavor to decide the bail application, if any, moved, most expeditiously, preferably within 02 days.

31.05.2024
amandeep

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No