

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CWP-15504-2022
Date of Decision: 30.01.2024

Satwant Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr.Nikhil Anand, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Lalit Attri, Central Govt. Counsel for
Mr. Sunil Kumar Sharma, Sr.Panel Counsel
for the respondents-UOI.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India is seeking direction to the respondents to consider and decide his legal notice dated 27.12.2021 (Annexure P-9) and notice dated 05.04.2022 (Annexure P-12) in a time bound manner.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that grievance of the petitioner, at this stage, would be redressed, if the respondents are directed to decide his representation in the light of Rule 54-A (3) of Fundamental Rules since the respondents vide letter dated 29.03.2022 (Annexure P-121) had intimated the petitioner that a decision in this regard would be taken up soon.
3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the competent authority would decide representation of the petitioner in the light of Rule 54-A (3) of Fundamental Rules within three months from today.

4. In the wake of statement of learned counsel for the parties, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

30.01.2024
anju

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>No</i>