

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-2713-2018

Date of decision:20.03.2024

Ashok Kumar

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS.JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Surinder Kumar Dagar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Tarun Yadav, Advocate for respondent No.2.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (Oral)

Challenge in the present petition is to order dated 18.07.2018 (Annexure P-1) whereby the petitioner was summoned as an additional accused in an application filed by the prosecution/complainant under Section 319 Cr.P.C.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State, on instructions from Sub-Inspector Rakesh Kumar, Police Station Narnaul, District Mahendergarh, informs that the present petition has been rendered infructuous as the trial in the present case has concluded and the petitioner has been acquitted by the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Narnaul vide judgment dated 10.05.2019 arising out of FIR No. 288 dated 19.11.2014 registered under Sections 323/354/452/506/34 IPC, at Police Station Narnaul, District Mahendergarh, Haryana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the above fact and prays that in view of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent-State, the present petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

20.03.2024

Divyanshi

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Whether reportable:

Yes/No

Yes/No

(NIDHI GUPTA)

JUDGE