



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

249

\*\*\*\*\*

CRR (F) No.656 of 2022  
Date of decision : 13.11.2024

Shanti Saroop .....Petitioner  
Versus  
Priti and another .....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: None  
---

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

None has caused appearance on behalf of the petitioner. Same was the position on 13.7.2023. Vide order dated 3.8.2022, notice of motion was issued subject to the petitioner depositing a sum of ₹33,000/- as litigation expenses with the registry of this Court.

Office note indicates that notice could not be issued for want of process fee. It is, thus, indubitable that the amount of ₹33,000/-, as directed for vide order dated 3.8.2022, has not been deposited.

Ergo, dismissed for non-prosecution.

Liberty is reserved in favour of the petitioner to seek for recall of this order on showing sufficient cause.

(SUMEET GOEL)  
JUDGE

13.11.2024  
*Ashwani*