



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(121)

CWP No. 14039 of 2024
Date of Decision : 01.07.2024

Kiran Bhateja and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vansh Vohra, Advocate for the petitioners.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that the petitioners are similarly situated as the petitioner in CWP No. 15102 of 2011 titled as ***Satya Paul Dogra Vs. State of Punjab and others***, decided on 09.08.2023, wherein the claim as being raised in the present petition has already been allowed but the petitioners are not being extended the benefit and that too without any valid justification. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are also entitled for the benefit as extended in ***Satya Paul Dogra (supra)***.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 17.12.2023 (Annexure P-8) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in



case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated 17.12.2023 (Annexure P-8) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioners, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioners in the speaking order to be passed.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

July 01, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No